### **Treatment of Viral Bronchiolitis and the Place of Hypertonic Saline**

### From **Basic Science** to **Clinical Practice** Modern – 2013 understandings

A. Mandelberg

The Pediatric Pulmonary Unit. Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, Israel

# Noa – <u>3M</u> Old baby

- Presenting: Fever, rhinitis, cough for 2 days
- Exam: Dyspnea, wheezing, rales, crepitations, 65 BPM, retractions.
- Anamnesis: Never wheezed, no family allergy/asthma
- CXR: Over-inflation, plate like atelectasis.
- **PHI = Previously Healthy Infant**

## Natural Course ↔ treatments (why we fail so far)



RS

Understanding the natural course/pathophysiology of acute viral bronchiolitis – <u>explain</u> why <u>we fail so</u> <u>far</u> - treating these babies

Mandelberg A, Amirav I; Pediatric Pulmonology. Jan 2010

Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. Collins PL: RSV, in :Fields Virology. 2001 1443-1485 Staat MA. Semin Resp Inf. 2002; 17:15



Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. \*\*Staat MA. Semin Resp Inf. 2002; 17:15 \*\*\*Collins PL: RSV, in :Fields Virology. 2001 1443-1485



•<u>Anti viral agents</u> (Ribavirin and Mono Clonal Ab) - <u>futile</u> in <u>hospitalized</u> PHI <u>when</u> - viral load  $\downarrow$  while inflammation  $\uparrow$  - causing all the damage.

•Steroids - anti-inflammatory  $\downarrow$ , but viral shedding  $\uparrow$  - are unpredictable in these babies.

Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. \*\*Staat MA. Semin Resp Inf. 2002; 17:15 \*\*\*Collins PL: RSV, in :Fields Virology. 2001 1443-1485



### **Natural Course changes** by different populations, Risk Factors / treatments



**RSV** 

•However, the natural course differs between previously healthy infants (PHI) and other populations

•Some treatments which are futile in PHI, will be "the state of the art" in other populations.

Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. \*\*Staat MA. Semin Resp Inf. 2002; 17:15 \*\*\*Collins PL: RSV, in :Fields Virology. 2001 1443-1485



# Natural Course Changes

by different populations, risk factors / treatments



•Immunocompromised infants (congenital, acquired or iatrogenic, BMT) with concomitant acute viral bronchiolitis

– Increased viral ↑shedding - 30-55d.

- Benefit from Ribavirin and monoclonal antibodies

Previously wheezing infants / or BPD / ↓TLR4...
 – More steroid and/or bronchodilator responsive

# •Data on PHI in the acute phase should not be generalized to other populations.

Tal G, Mandelberg A, et al.– J Infect Dis 2004 Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. Collins PL: RSV, in :Fields Virology. 2001 1443-1485

Staat MA. Semin Resp Inf. 2002; 17:15

## **Treatment disappointments**

- Still, the mainstay of treatment for RSV supplemental <u>oxygen</u> and <u>hydration</u>:(\*,\*\*,\*\*\*\*)
- RIBAVIRIN (inspired great hope): AAP stated: "\*Ribavirin should be used"...
  - Based on Smith DW. N Engl J Med. 1991;325 : 14/14 Ventilated babies: Ribavirin V water-placebo → hospitalization↓ + ventilation↓ days.
- However, the "beneficial" effect of ribavirin could not be duplicated subsequently in PHI and only then was it appreciated that distilled water was not an appropriate placebo.

\* Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. \*\* Darville T. Pediatrics in Review. 1998; 19:55-61 \*\*\*\*\* Schuh S. J Pediatr 2002;140:27

# **Treatment - disappointments**



 AAP statement 1996: changed from "should be used" to-"<u>Ribavirin</u> may only be considered for children with serious underlying disorders" #PHI

Mandelberg A, Pediatric Pulmonol. 2010

### **ERS TASK FORCE** W. Lenney Eur Respir J 2009; 34: 531–551



3 Treatment of acute viral bronchiolitis
--

#### **Recommendations**

Bronchodilators	Should not be used routinely (individual trial may be justified)
INH steroids	Should not be used
Systemic corticosteroid	Should not be used
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	Should not be used
Monoclonal antibodies	Should not be used
Antibiotics	Should not be used
Antiviral – Ribavirin	Should not be used
Chest physiotherapy	Should not be used
Hypertonic saline	Should probably be used

Medicine used in respiratory diseases only seen in children

Hypertonic Saline in Viral Bronchiolitis and beyond – Does Hypertonic Saline "Hold Water?"

> From **Basic Science** to **Clinical Practice** Modern – 2013 understandings

> > A. Mandelberg

The Pediatric Pulmonary Unit. Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, Israel

# **RSV-Bronchiolitis Treatment** (why we failed)

These infants are wheezing but do not respond very well to anti-asthmatic treatment. - # <u>Asthma</u>

# **Pathophysiology**

Bronchiolitis is a viral <u>infection</u> of the bronchiolar epithelium - subsequent: (\*,\*\*) Profound sub-mucosal <u>edema and mucus</u> <u>plugging</u>

Increased <u>secretion</u> of mucin by exocytosis <u>Relative ASL Dehydration (</u>1 <u>mucin/water</u>)

RSV- by ↑ATPases...<u>absolute ASL</u> <u>dehydration (</u>↑↑<u>mucin/water↓) (\*\*\*)</u>



Bronchiolitis in an Infant with RSV (Hematoxylin and Eosin,

•Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. •\*\*\* Randell SH AJRCMB 2006 \*\* Darville T. Pediatrics in Review. 1998; 19:55-61

# **Pathophysiology Oriented Treatment**

- This called for a new treatment approach
- Aiming on Mucus clearance and Hydration

C

Hypertonic saline

### **<u>Hydration</u>** is the dominant variable governing MC

- In mice model: ENaC ↑ (ASL severe dehydration) resulted in spontaneous mortality of 60% by 30 d. [Mall M, 2004; Nat Med]
- So why CF patients "with <u>lab</u> evidence of <u>severe</u> ASL dehydration and ASL collapse" do not die so quickly?
  - Long periods of relative health during basal states. [Bucher RC AJPCCM 2010]
- Back to BASIC SCIENCE

Since airway epithelia are water permeable, water moves following Cl and Na to equalize electrolytes concentrations

**ATP** and its metabolite **adenosine** are probably the most important regulators **hydrating** the ASL

<u>CF</u> cell  $-\downarrow$  CFTR - <u>no</u> response to <u>adenosine</u> is totally dependent on ATP.



Randell SH; Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol, 2006 Mandelberg A & Amirav I; Pediatric Pulmonol. 2010

### **Rundell's model: A two separate layer structure**

ASL (Airway Surface Liquid) = PCL + Mucus layer



•The mucus layer acts as a <u>fluid reservoir</u>, it accepts or donates liquid to <u>maintain</u> <u>apposition</u> of the mucus layer inner surface with the tips of the cilia. Randell SH, 2006; AJRCMB

•However, in severe ASL dehydration, the ability of the mucus layer to "donate" water is <u>exhausted</u> – PCL COLLAPSE. [Tarran R, 2005;J Biol Chem]\*

PCL

### ASL, Old concept–New concept

- Old concept: In CF, (based on all <u>static</u> EP cultures) complete collapse of ASL (PCL+ML) Everywhere.
- "Why don't they die quickly?"
- There is some wondrous compensatory mechanism in-vivo only.
- Actually, **in vivo**, MCC in CF are functionally almost **normal** (at birth and in most "<u>non-insulted</u>" respiratory regions during life)





### What is this compensatory mechanism - INVIVO only?

[Bucher RC AJPCCM 2010] [Randell SH, 2006; Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol; 35; 20]

# The answer is **ATP** is paramount – **but IN-VIVO only**

- IN-VITRO in static cell cultures Extracellular ATP concentration is <u>negligible.</u>
- However IN-VIVO the ATP concentration dramatically rises



Why does ATP<sup>↑</sup> rise INVIVO only?

\* [Tarran R, 2005;J Biol Chem]

# •Mechanotransduction: A mechanism by which cell converts mechanical stimulus into chemical activity

- SHEAR STRESS  $(\tau = V^*Q/t^*I) \longrightarrow ATP$  (extra-cellular)
- $[0.4-0.5 \, dyne/cm^2]$  ~ the same in trachea, bronchi, bronchioles
- Shear stress is parallel to the ASA

•

•

- Increases due to inspiratory and expiratory movements (acceleration/deceleration)
- Only in vivo. (Not in static tissue cultures).



### **ATP** = key signal during phasic motion (in vivo)

- Phasic motion of the airway wall (inflation/deflation shear stress)-ATP ↑ and Adenosine ↑.- increases ASL Ht
- When **normal** human airway epithelial cells cultures were <u>subjected to phasic shear stresses</u> in moving heated incubators, in a rate similar to tidal breathing, the height of **ASL doubled**.



# **ASL-VIRAL INFECTION INSULT**

 Actually, <u>in vivo</u>, MCC in CF are functionally <u>normal</u> (at birth and in "<u>non-insulted</u>" respiratory regions during life)

 "Catastrophic" viral inf. Induce ASL dehydration and collapse





Disease exacerbations are due to intermittent catastrophic MC failures caused by VIRAL infections

- Viral infection up-regulates <u>ecto-ATPases</u>, depleting extracellular ATP – attenuating Cl secretion  $\downarrow$  to and increasing Na movement from  $\uparrow$  ASL - dehydration, MC  $\downarrow$ .
- <u>RSV</u> infection in <u>CF</u> epithelia under <u>phasic motion</u> condition (simulating in vivo conditions) causes <u>ASL</u> <u>collapse</u>,
- This is true not only in <u>CF</u>. <u>In normal epithelia under phasic motion</u>, <u>RSV still</u> causes (although less) <u>ASL</u> dehydration probably depending on the severity of the infection.

[Tarran R, 2005; J Biol Chem]]

Disease exacerbations are due to intermittent catastrophic MC failures caused by <u>VIRAL</u> infections

• Rundell: <u>Therapy</u> to <u>maintain</u> ASL hydration is important <u>in viral exacerbation</u> of <u>all</u> chronic airway diseases. [Rundell 2006;AJRCMB] Possible mechanisms for MC <sup>↑</sup> of HS
1. ASL Hydration and decreasing sub-epithelial edema by osmotic forces.



Mandelberg A, Pediatric Pulmonol. 2010



DAVISKAS E, J Aerosol Medicine-2006

### Hypertonic Saline or High Volume Normal Saline for Viral Bronchiolitis: Mechanisms and Rationale

Pediatric Pulmonol. Jan 2010

Avigdor Mandelberg, MD<sup>1</sup>\* and Israel Amirav, MD<sup>2</sup>



**Clinical studies and outcomes using HS** 

# **Acute Viral Bronchiolitis**

# **3% Hypertonic saline in RSV bronchiolitis**

- Objective: To determine the utility of inhaled 3% hypertonic saline / epinephrine to shorten hospitalization stay and improve clinical scores in PHI hospitalized with acute viral bronchiolitis
- **Design:** Randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial.
  - 53 PHI age (months): 2.9±2.1 with viral bronchiolitis
  - Received either aerosol inhalation of 1.5 mg epinephrine / in 4mL saline-3% (treatment-group II, n=27).
  - Or aerosol inhalation of 1.5 mg epinephrine / in 4mL saline-0.9% (control-group I, n=25)
  - The above treatment was repeated 3 times every hospitalization day until discharge.

Mandelberg A et al. CHEST 2003; 123:481–487

### **RESULTS** -Using 3% saline shortenedhospitalization stay by 25% \* n=51

	Placebo 0.9% NaCl Group I (n = 24)	Treatment 3% NaCl Group II (n = 27)	Р						
DAYS	4±1.9	Group II (II = 27) 3±1.2	P<0.05						
* \$ 75,00	* \$ 75,000,000 Direct Save / Year - USA								

Mandelberg A et al. CHEST 2003; 123:481–487

More experience – Second year + pooled meta-analysis of both years

– Second year experience: <u>hospital stay</u>↓ <u>symptoms</u>↓

 Pooled data: N=93 (48 - epinephrine1.5mg/hypertonic saline 3% and 45 - epinephrine 1.5mg / normal saline combination). <u>hospital stay</u>↓ <u>symptoms</u>↓

Second	Placebo	Treatment								
year	0.9% NaCl	3% NaCl	Р							
data	Group I	Group II								
DAYS	3.5±1.7	2.6±1.4	P=0.018							
MAJ 8:169-173, 2006										

# More experience

- <u>Design</u>: Randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial.
- 65 ambulatory infants
  - milder bronchiolitis.
  - 12.5±6 months old
- NaCl-3%/5mg-terbutaline (Treatment group) is more effective in decreasing symptoms as compared to NaCl-0.9%/terbutaline (Control group)



FIGURE 1. After the baseline measurement on the first day, the CS score differed significantly between the two groups: terbutaline/3% NaCl (treatment group) vs terbutaline/0.9% NaCl (control group). \*p < 0.005. INH = inhalation.

Sarrell EM, Tal G, Witzling M, Somekh E, Houri S, Cohen HA, Mandelberg A. CHEST 2002

#### Nebulized Hypertonic Saline in the Treatment of Viral Bronchiolitis in Infants

Brian A. Kuzik, MD, MSc, FRCP(C), Samim A. Al Qadhi, MD, MBChB, Steven Kent, BSc(med), MD, FRCP(C), Michael P. Flavin, MB, MRCP(UK), FRCP(C), Wilma Hopman, MA, Simon Hotte, MD, and Sarah Gander, MD



(J Pediatr 2007;151:266-70)



# Cochrane Review - "The bible"



# Nebulized hypertonic saline solution for acute bronchiolitis in infants

- First published in **2008**, Issue 4
- Last published in **2013**, Issue 7 (adding more studies to a new full meta-analysis)
- no change to conclusions.

# **Cochrane Review 2013**

THE COCHRANE



# Nebulized hypertonic saline solution for acute bronchiolitis in infants

#### Figure 2. Hypertonic saline versus 0.9% saline: length of hospital stay (days)

	Hyperte	onic sa	line	0.9% saline			Mean Difference			Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Mandelberg 2003	3	1.2	27	4	1.9	25	11.5%	-1.00 [-1.87, -0.13]	2003	
Tal 2006	2.6	1.4	21	3.5	1.7	20	9.9%	-0.90 [-1.86, 0.06]	2006	
Kuzik 2007	2.6	1.9	47	3.5	2.9	49	9.5%	-0.90 [-1.88, 0.08]	2007	
Luo 2010	6	1.2	50	7.4	1.5	43	21.6%	-1.40 [-1.96, -0.84]	2010	
Luo 2011	4.8	1.2	57	6.4	1.4	55	25.6%	-1.60 [-2.08, -1.12]	2011	
Giudice 2012	4.9	1.3	52	5.6	1.6	54	21.8%	-0.70 [-1.25, -0.15]	2012	
Total (95% CI)			254			246	100.0%	-1.15 [-1.49, -0.82]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.05; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7.18, df = 5 (P = 0.21); l <sup>2</sup> = 30%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.83 (P < 0.00001)										Favours hypertonic saline Favours 0.9% saline



# **Cochrane Review 2013**



# Nebulized hypertonic saline solution for acute bronchiolitis in infants

#### Figure 5. Hypertonic saline versus 0.9% saline: clinical severity score (post-treatment) at day 2

	Hyperte	onic sa	line	0.9%	% salin	e		Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.4.1 Outpatients										
Sarrell 2002 Subtotal (95% CI)	2.77	1.4	33 33	4.77	2.31	32 32	12.6% <b>12.6</b> %	-2.00 [-2.93, -1.07] - <b>2.00 [-2.93, -1.07]</b>	2002	a — — →
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable									
Test for overall effect	Z= 4.21 (	(P < 0.0	001)							
1.4.2 Emergency dep	partment	patient	S							
Al-Ansari 2010 Subtotal (95% CI)	3.85	1.16	115 <b>115</b>	4.12	1.11	56 56	15.7% <b>15.7</b> %	-0.27 [-0.63, 0.09] - <b>0.27 [-0.63, 0.09]</b>	2010	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable									
Test for overall effect	Z=1.47 (	(P = 0.1	4)							
1.4.3 Inpatients										
Mandelberg 2003	6.41	1.4	24	6.92	1.62	25	13.1%	-0.51 [-1.36, 0.34]	2003	3
Tal 2006	5.35	1.3	20	6.45	1	20	13.9%	-1.10 [-1.82, -0.38]	2006	; <del></del>
Luo 2010	2.2	1.1	50	3.8	1.5	43	14.9%	-1.60 [-2.14, -1.06]	2010	
Luo 2011	3.5	1.1	57	5.9	1.5	55	15.2%	-2.40 [-2.89, -1.91]	2011	<b>*</b>
Giudice 2012	6.8	1.4	52	8.2	1.7	54	14.6%		2012	2 +
Subtotal (95% CI)			203			197	71.7%	-1.45 [-2.06, -0.85]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	: 0.36; Chi	i <sup>2</sup> = 18.9	34, df=	4 (P = 0	.0008	); <b>I</b> ² = 7!	9%			
Test for overall effect	Z= 4.74 (	(P < 0.0	0001)							
Total (95% CI)			351			285	100.0%	-1.32 [-2.00, -0.64]		◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:				6 (P < 0	.0000	1); l² = l	89%			
Test for subgroup diff				lf=2 (P	< 0.00	101), I <b>?</b> :	= 89.5%			Favours hypertonic saline Favours 0.9% saline


# **Cochrane Review 2013**



### Nebulized hypertonic saline solution for acute bronchiolitis in infants

#### Figure 5. Hypertonic saline versus 0.9% saline: clinical severity score (post-treatment) at day 2

	Hyperte	onic sa	line	0.9%	% salin	e		Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.4.1 Outpatients										
Sarrell 2002 Subtotal (95% CI)	2.77	1.4	33 33	4.77	2.31	32 32	12.6% <b>12.6</b> %	-2.00 [-2.93, -1.07] - <b>2.00 [-2.93, -1.07]</b>	2002	a — — →
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable									
Test for overall effect	Z= 4.21 (	(P < 0.0	001)							
1.4.2 Emergency dep	partment	patient	S							
Al-Ansari 2010 Subtotal (95% CI)	3.85	1.16	115 <b>115</b>	4.12	1.11	56 56	15.7% <b>15.7</b> %	-0.27 [-0.63, 0.09] - <b>0.27 [-0.63, 0.09]</b>	2010	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable									
Test for overall effect	Z=1.47 (	(P = 0.1	4)							
1.4.3 Inpatients										
Mandelberg 2003	6.41	1.4	24	6.92	1.62	25	13.1%	-0.51 [-1.36, 0.34]	2003	3
Tal 2006	5.35	1.3	20	6.45	1	20	13.9%	-1.10 [-1.82, -0.38]	2006	; <del></del>
Luo 2010	2.2	1.1	50	3.8	1.5	43	14.9%	-1.60 [-2.14, -1.06]	2010	
Luo 2011	3.5	1.1	57	5.9	1.5	55	15.2%	-2.40 [-2.89, -1.91]	2011	<b>*</b>
Giudice 2012	6.8	1.4	52	8.2	1.7	54	14.6%		2012	2 +
Subtotal (95% CI)			203			197	71.7%	-1.45 [-2.06, -0.85]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	: 0.36; Chi	i <sup>2</sup> = 18.9	34, df=	4 (P = 0	.0008	); <b>I</b> ² = 7!	9%			
Test for overall effect	Z= 4.74 (	(P < 0.0	0001)							
Total (95% CI)			351			285	100.0%	-1.32 [-2.00, -0.64]		◆
Tast for sucrel affect 7 - 2.04 /D - 0.0004										
Test for subgroup diff				lf=2 (P	< 0.00	101), I <b>?</b> :	= 89.5%			Favours hypertonic saline Favours 0.9% saline



### Nebulized hypertonic saline solution for acute bronchiolitis in infants

**Cochrane Review - "The bible"** 

• First published in **2008**, Issue 4

#### • Last published in **2013**, Issue 7 - **no change to conclusions**.

#### Authors' conclusions

Current evidence suggests nebulised 3% saline may significantly reduce the length of hospital stay among infants hospitalised with non-severe acute viral bronchiolitis and improve the clinical severity score in both outpatient and inpatient populations.

#### PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

The establishment of a therapeutic role for hypertonic saline solution may provide a cheap and effective therapy for these patients.

We included 11 randomised trials involving 1090 infants with mild to moderate bronchiolitis. All but one of the 11 trials are considered as high-quality studies with low risk of error (i.e. bias) in their conclusions. Meta-analysis suggests that nebulised hypertonic saline could lead to a reduction of 1.2 days in the mean length of hospital stay among infants hospitalised for non-severe acute bronchiolitis and improve the clinical severity score in both outpatient and inpatient populations. No significant short-term effects (at 30 to 120 minutes) of one to three doses of nebulised hypertonic saline were observed among emergency department patients. However, more trials are needed to address this question. There were no significant adverse effects noted with the use of nebulised hypertonic saline when administered along with bronchodilators.

Given the clinically relevant benefit and good safety profile, nebulised hypertonic saline used in conjunction with bronchodilators should be considered an effective and safe treatment for infants with mild to moderate acute viral bronchiolitis.

# נייר עמדה (2012)

- נייר עמדה מטעם האיגוד הישראלי לרפואת ילדים, האיגוד
  הישראלי לרפואת ריאות ילדים והאיגוד הישראלי לאירוזולים
  ברפואה.
- ממליצים על טיפול עם מלח היפרטוני 3% או 5% (עם בטה אגוניסטים) בחולים מאושפזים ובחולים אמבולטורים עם ברונכיוליטיס ויראלי.
- בחולים מאושפזים מקצר אשפוזים ובחולים אמבולטורים משפר
  oraeolaria CS

#### Beyond

#### **Does Hypertonic Saline Further ''Hold Water?'' in Older "asthmatic" children**

New "hot" data

# PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Hypertonic Saline and Acute Wheezing in Preschool Children Dorit Ater, Hanita Shai, Bat-El Bar, Nir Fireman, Diana Tasher, Ilan Dalal, Ami Ballin and Avigdor Mandelberg *Pediatrics*; originally published online May 21, 2012; DOI: 10.1542/peds.2011-3376



WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Most acute wheezing episodes in preschool children are associated with rhinovirus, which decreases extracellular adenosine triphosphate levels, leading to airway surface liquid dehydration and submucosal edema, which cause failure of mucus clearance. These children respond poorly to available treatments.



WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Hypertonic saline inhalation, a proairway surface liquid hydration therapy, significantly decreases both length of stay by 33% (1 day) and the absolute risk of hospitalization by 30% in preschool children presenting with acute wheezing episode to the emergency department.

# PEDIATRICS<sup>®</sup>

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Oral Dexamethasone for Bronchiolitis: A Randomized Trial Khalid Alansari, Mahmoud Sakran, Bruce L. Davidson, Khalid Ibrahim, Mahmoud Alrefai and Ibrahim Zakaria *Pediatrics* 2013;132;e810; originally published online September 16, 2013;

WHAT IS KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Some infants presenting with bronchiolitis are later diagnosed with asthma. Corticosteroid treatment of all infants with bronchiolitis is not clearly efficacious.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: We used infant eczema or asthma history in a first-degree relative to select patients with bronchiolitis for dexamethasone or placebo blinded treatment. Dexamethasone treatment of 5 days led to significantly earlier readiness for discharge from infirmary treatment.

#### •Asthma predictive index positive = Selective population

# PEDIATRICS

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY

Heliox Therapy in Bronchiolitis: Phase III Multicenter C. Pearson, FRACP,<sup>c</sup> Siobhan Carr, FRCPCH,<sup>b</sup> Caroline Pao, MRCP,<sup>b</sup> Arvind R. Shah, Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial

AUTHORS: Mina M. Chowdhury, MB, ChB,<sup>a</sup> Sheila A. FRCPCH,<sup>c</sup> Elizabeth Reus, MSc,<sup>a</sup> Joseph Eliahoo, PhD,<sup>e</sup> Fabiana Gordon, PhD,<sup>e</sup> Hubert Bland, MB, ChB,<sup>f</sup> and Parviz Habibi, PhD, FRCP, FRCPCH<sup>a</sup>

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Bronchiolitis, a leading cause of infant hospitalization, has few proven treatments. A few small studies have reported the beneficial effects of a mixture of 21% oxygen + 79% helium (Heliox). The 2010 Cochrane Review concluded that additional large randomized controlled trials were needed to determine the therapeutic role of Heliox in bronchiolitis.



**WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS:** The Bronchiolitis Randomized Controlled Trial Emergency-Assisted Therapy with Heliox—An Evaluation (BREATHE) trial is the largest multicenter randomized controlled trial to date to investigate the efficacy of Heliox in acute bronchiolitis. The delivery method for Heliox therapy was found to be crucial to its efficacy.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Heliox therapy does not reduce LoT unless given via a tightfitting facemask or CPAP. Nasal cannula heliox therapy is ineffective. Pediatrics 2013;131:661-669

#### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE Epinephrine and Dexamethasone in Children with Bronchiolitis Amy C. Plint N Engl J Med 2009;360:2079-89.

800 infants – Pediatric Emergency Dep.

Outcome – hospitalization rate within 7 D

Placebo N=20026.4%NSDexamethazone-1mg/kg<br/>and 0.6mg/kg-5 days25.6%NSEpinephrine 1:1000 - 3cc23.7%NSDexamethazone +<br/>Epinephrine17.1%p=0.07

Figure 3. Cumulative Admissions during the First 7 Days after the Initial Emergency Department Visit, According to Study Group.

Enrollment data represent all patients admitted at their initial visit to the emergency department, and data for day 1 represent patients admitted within 24 hours of this visit.

**Dexamethazone** Cumulative dose = 4mg/kg/6days 9% (N=18) preventive hospitalization



# **Cochrane Review 2013**



### Nebulized hypertonic saline solution for acute bronchiolitis in infants

#### Figure 3. Hypertonic saline versus 0.9% saline: rate of hospitalisation.



37% reduction in hospitalization. However p=0.9

# Noa – 3M Old baby

- Presenting: Fever, rhinitis, cough for 2 days
- Exam: Dyspnea, wheezing, rales, crepitations, 65 BPM, retractions.
- Anamnesis: Premature-28W 1500gr, ventilated for 5 days, needed oxygen for 50 days. Family-no atopy
- CXR: Over-inflation, plate like atelectasis.
- = Previously BPD (=CLD of NB)



**Recommendations** 

Bronchodilators	
INH steroids	
Systemic corticosteroid	
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	
Monoclonal antibodies	
Antibiotics	
Antiviral – Ribavirin	
Chest physiotherapy	
Hypertonic saline	

Medicine used in respiratory diseases only seen in children

Treatment of acute viral bronchiolitis

# Noa – 3M Old baby

- Presenting: Fever, rhinitis, cough for 2 days
- Exam: Dyspnea, wheezing, rales, crepitations, 65 BPM, retractions.
- Anamnesis: Post BMT 3 2 weeks ago. Family-no atopy.
- CXR: Over-inflation, plate like atelectasis.
- = Immune deficient baby



**Recommendations** 

Bronchodilators	
INH steroids	
Systemic corticosteroid	
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	
Monoclonal antibodies	
Antibiotics	
Antiviral – Ribavirin	
Chest physiotherapy	
Hypertonic saline	

Medicine used in respiratory diseases only seen in children

Treatment of acute viral bronchiolitis

## Dafna – 7M Old baby

- Presenting: Fever, rhinitis, cough for 2 days
- Exam: Dyspnea, wheezing, rales, crepitations, 65 BPM, retractions.
- Anamnesis: Previously recurrent wheezing. **Family-mother-asthma+allergy.**
- CXR: Over-inflation, plate like atelectasis.
- = Previously Infantile asthma



**Recommendations** 

Bronchodilators	
INH steroids	
Systemic corticosteroid	
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	
Monoclonal antibodies	
Antibiotics	
Antiviral – Ribavirin	
Chest physiotherapy	
Hypertonic saline	

Medicine used in respiratory diseases only seen in children

Treatment of acute viral bronchiolitis

# Shiri – 7M Old baby

- Presenting: Fever, rhinitis, cough for 2 days
- Exam: No Dyspnea, wheezing, mild rales, 40 BPM, no retractions.
- Anamnesis: Mother says "wheezing from birth, less at night. Family-no atopy.
- CXR: Over-inflation, plate like atelectasis.
- = Persistent wheezing



**Recommendations** 

Bronchodilators	
INH steroids	
Systemic corticosteroid	
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	
Monoclonal antibodies	
Antibiotics	
Antiviral – Ribavirin	
Chest physiotherapy	
Hypertonic saline	

Medicine used in respiratory diseases only seen in children

Treatment of acute viral bronchiolitis

# **Yosi – <u>2.5Y</u> Old boy**

- Presenting: Fever, rhinitis, cough for 2 days
- Exam: Dyspnea, wheezing,, 60 BPM, retractions.
- Anamnesis: Never wheezed, no family allergy/asthma
- CXR: Over-inflation, plate like atelectasis.
- = Viral triggered wheezing



**Recommendations** 

Bronchodilators	
INH steroids	
Systemic corticosteroid	
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	
Monoclonal antibodies	
Antibiotics	
Antiviral – Ribavirin	
Chest physiotherapy	
Hypertonic saline	

Medicine used in respiratory diseases only seen in children

Treatment of acute viral bronchiolitis

Hypertonic Saline in Viral Bronchiolitis and beyond – Does Hypertonic Saline "Hold Water?"

> From **Basic Science** to **Clinical Practice** Modern – 2013 understandings

> > A. Mandelberg

The Pediatric Pulmonary Unit. Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, Israel

#### **Viruses** (*n* - increase with molecular diagnosis)

- Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the most common approxymately – 80%
- Rhinovirus
- Parainfluenza virus
- Human metapneumovirus
- Influenza virus
- Adenovirus
- Coronavirus
- Human bocavirus
- Using molecular diagnostics, more than one virus may occur in up to one-third of young children hospitalized with bronchiolitis

- family: paramixoviridae, enveloped, ss-RNA,
- two surface glycoproteins:
  - **F**: fusion, conserved
    - T1 response
  - G: attachment,
    - T2 response
  - strains A and B



Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. \*\*Staat MA. Semin Resp Inf. 2002; 17:15 \*\*\*Collins PL: RSV, in :Fields Virology. 2001 1443-1485

## **RSV** burden

- Virtually all children become infected with RSV within two years after birth, (\*,\*\*\*)
  - 50%- infected twice (\*\*\*\*)
- 0.5-2% require hospitalization (\*,\*\*,\*\*\*)
  - 2/3 of the cost of annual RSV epidemics result of hospitalization (\*)
  - N<sup>↑</sup> In Infants < 1 y: annual hospitalization/1000 <sup>↑</sup> 2.4-fold, from 12.9 in 1980 to 31.2 in 1996 (\*\*\*)
- In 1985 100,000 children were hospitalized with RSV infection in USA = \$300 million. (\*).

•\*Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. \*\*National Center of Infectious Diseases 2002 •\*\*\* Shay DK. JAMA 1999; 282:1440 \*\*\*\*Collins PL: RSV, in :Fields Virology. 2001 1443-1485

## RSV burden - Risk factors

- 1% of PHI are hospitalized = largest "risk group" (up to 75% of hospitalized babies)
  - Calls for genetic/immunological markers
- •Age < 6 weeks
- •Premature infants
- •BPD / CLD, CF
- •Congenital Heart Disease
- •Immunosuppressive disease / therapy
- •Underlying conditions:
  - •Cong anomaly, CP, metabolic Disease

\* Hall CB. N Engl J med. 2001; 344:1917-1928. \*\*Staat MA. Semin Resp Inf. 2002; 17:15